



Robot Design

Mathew

Team Number 1 Corgis
Judging Room _____

For each Robot Design criteria, clearly mark the box that best describes the ability of the team to demonstrate or provide evidence (such as analysis or test data) that their robot and processes meet that level of achievement. If the team does NOT describe a particular criteria at all, then put an 'X' in the first box for Not Demonstrated (ND). Please provide as many written comments as you can to acknowledge each team's hard work and to help teams improve. Use the back for additional comments if needed.

| | | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|
| Mechanical Design | Durability | Robot designed to maintain structural integrity and have the ability to withstand rigors of competition | | | |
| | N D | quite fragile; breaks a lot | frequent or significant faults/repairs | rare faults/repairs | sound construction; no repairs |
| | Mechanical Efficiency | Robot designed to be easy to repair, modify, and be handled by technicians | | | |
| | N D | excessive time to repair/modify | inefficient to repair/modify | appropriate time to repair/modify | streamlined time to repair/modify |
| Mechanization | Mechanization | Robot mechanisms designed to move or act with appropriate speed, strength and accuracy for intended tasks (propulsion and execution) | | | |
| | N D | imbalance of speed, strength and accuracy on most tasks | imbalance of speed, strength and accuracy on some tasks | appropriate balance of speed, strength and accuracy on most tasks | appropriate balance of speed, strength and accuracy on every task |
| | Programming Quality | Programs are appropriate for the intended purpose and should achieve consistent results, assuming no mechanical faults | | | |
| Programming | N D | would not achieve purpose AND would be inconsistent | would not achieve purpose OR would be inconsistent | should achieve purpose repeatedly | should achieve purpose every time |
| | Programming Efficiency | Programs are modular, streamlined, and understandable | | | |
| | N D | excessive code and difficult to understand | inefficient code and challenge to understand | appropriate code and easy to understand | streamlined code and easy for anyone to understand |
| | Automation/Navigation | Robot designed to move or act as intended using mechanical and/or sensor feedback (with minimal reliance on driver intervention and/or program timing) | | | |
| N D | frequent driver intervention to aim AND retrieve robot | frequent driver intervention to aim OR retrieve robot | robot moves/acts as intended repeatedly w/ occasional driver intervention | robot moves/acts as intended every time with no driver intervention | |
| Strategy & Innovation | Design Process | Developed and explained improvement cycles where alternatives were considered and narrowed, selections tested, designs improved (applies to programming as well as mechanical design) | | | |
| | N D | organization AND explanation need improvement | organization OR explanation need improvement | systematic and well-explained | systematic, well-explained and well-documented |
| | Mission Strategy | Clearly defined and described the team's game strategy | | | |
| | N D | no clear goals AND no clear strategy | no clear goals OR no clear strategy | clear strategy to accomplish well-defined goals | clear strategy to accomplish most/all game missions |
| | Innovation | Team identifies their sources of inspiration and creates new, unique, or unexpected feature(s) (e.g. designs, programs, strategies or applications) that are beneficial in performing the specified tasks | | | |
| N D | No original feature(s) | original feature(s) with some added value or potential | original feature(s) with the potential to add significant value | original feature(s) that add significant value | |

Comments

Great Job...
modularity

Think about...



Innovation Project

Mathew

Team Number 6015
Judging Room 8A

For each skill area, clearly **mark the box that best describes the team's accomplishments**. Teams should demonstrate everything at the level; if they are missing part, mark the level below. If the team does not demonstrate an area, put an 'X' in the first box for Not Demonstrated (ND). Please provide as many written comments as you can to acknowledge each team's hard work and to help teams improve. Use the back for additional comments if needed.

*Required for Award Consideration

| | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | |
|---------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| Research | Problem Identification * Clear definition of the problem being studied | | | | |
| | ND | unclear; few details | partially clear; details missing | mostly clear; detailed | clear; very detailed |
| | Sources of Information Quality and variety of data/evidence and sources cited | | | | |
| | ND | minimal quality; variety limited | quality OR variety need improvement; did not include professional(s) | sufficient quality and variety; included professional(s) | extensive quality and variety; included multiple professionals |
| | Problem Analysis Depth to which the problem was studied and analyzed by the team, including extent of analysis of existing solutions | | | | |
| ND | minimal study; no analysis | minimal study; some analysis | sufficient study and analysis | extensive study and analysis | |
| Innovative Solution | Team Solution* Clear explanation of the proposed solution and description of how it solves the problem | | | | |
| | ND | difficult to understand | some parts confusing | understandable | easy to understand by all |
| | Innovation Degree to which the team's solution makes life better by improving existing options, developing a new application of existing ideas, or solving the problem in a completely new way | | | | |
| | ND | existing solution/application | solution/application contains some original element(s) | original solution/application; potential added value | original solution/application; demonstrated added value |
| | Solution Development Systematic process used to select, develop, evaluate, test, and improve the solution (Implementation could include cost, ease of manufacturing, etc.) | | | | |
| ND | process AND explanation need improvement | process OR explanation need improvement | systematic process included evaluation | systematic process included evaluation; implementation considered | |
| Presentation | Sharing* Degree to which the team shared their Project before the tournament with others who might benefit from the team's efforts | | | | |
| | ND | shared with family / friends | shared outside family / friends (such as classmates) | shared with one audience who may benefit OR one professional | shared with multiple audiences who may benefit OR multiple professionals |
| | Creativity Imagination used to develop and deliver the presentation | | | | |
| | ND | minimally engaging OR unimaginative | engaging OR imaginative | engaging AND imaginative | very engaging AND exceptionally imaginative |
| | Presentation Effectiveness Message delivery and organization of the presentation | | | | |
| ND | unclear OR disorganized | partially clear; minimal organization | mostly clear; mostly organized | clear AND well organized | |

Comments

Great Job....

- good project problem
- citing source
- economic data, costs
- loved seeing the plastic result, nice chemistry

Think about...

- Introducing your team
- Presenting without reading slide
- Showing the process of experimentation with the solution



Innovation Project

Team Number Corgis
Judging Room _____

Danielle

For each skill area, clearly **mark the box that best describes the team's accomplishments**. Teams should demonstrate everything at the level; if they are missing part, mark the level below. If the team does not demonstrate an area, put an 'X' in the first box for Not Demonstrated (ND). Please provide as many written comments as you can to acknowledge each team's hard work and to help teams improve. Use the back for additional comments if needed.

*Required for Award Consideration

| | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Research | Problem Identification * Clear definition of the problem being studied | | | | |
| | ND | unclear; few details | partially clear; details missing | mostly clear; detailed | clear; very detailed |
| | Sources of Information Quality and variety of data/evidence and sources cited | | | | |
| | ND | minimal quality; variety limited | quality OR variety need improvement; did not include professional(s) | sufficient quality and variety; included professional(s) | extensive quality and variety; included multiple professionals |
| Innovative Solution | Problem Analysis Depth to which the problem was studied and analyzed by the team, including extent of analysis of existing solutions | | | | |
| | ND | minimal study; no analysis | minimal study; some analysis | sufficient study and analysis | extensive study and analysis |
| | Team Solution* Clear explanation of the proposed solution and description of how it solves the problem | | | | |
| Presentation | ND | difficult to understand | some parts confusing | understandable | easy to understand by all |
| | Innovation Degree to which the team's solution makes life better by improving existing options, developing a new application of existing ideas, or solving the problem in a completely new way | | | | |
| | ND | existing solution/application | solution/application contains some original element(s) | original solution/application; potential added value | original solution/application; demonstrated added value |
| | Solution Development Systematic process used to select, develop, evaluate, test, and improve the solution (Implementation could include cost, ease of manufacturing, etc.) | | | | |
| Sharing* | ND | process AND explanation need improvement | process OR explanation need improvement | systematic process included evaluation | systematic process included evaluation; implementation considered |
| | Sharing* Degree to which the team shared their Project before the tournament with others who might benefit from the team's efforts | | | | |
| | ND | shared with family / friends | shared outside family / friends (such as classmates) | shared with one audience who may benefit OR one professional | shared with multiple audiences who may benefit OR multiple professionals |
| | Creativity Imagination used to develop and deliver the presentation | | | | |
| Presentation Effectiveness | ND | minimally engaging OR unimaginative | engaging OR imaginative | engaging AND imaginative | very engaging AND exceptionally imaginative |
| | Presentation Effectiveness Message delivery and organization of the presentation | | | | |
| | ND | unclear OR disorganized | partially clear; minimal organization | mostly clear; mostly organized | clear AND well organized |

Comments

stay together; make sure everyone can be seen

Great Job....

- strong innovation of solution
- detailed examples of product and walk through of process
- good walk through of interview; be sure to include benefits and quantify

Think about...

- introduce all team members & ensure everyone speaks and answers questions
- make eye contact (less reading)
- explain more on testy solution & example of finished product (used to wrap a small pkg)

Directions: For each skill area, clearly mark the box that best describes the team's accomplishments. Teams should demonstrate everything at the level; if they are missing part, mark the level below. If the team does not demonstrate an area, put an 'X' in the first box for Not Demonstrated (ND). Please provide as many written comments as you can to acknowledge each team's hard work and to help teams improve. *When you have completed the evaluation, please circle the team's areas of strength.*

| | Beginning | Developing | Accomplished | Exemplary | |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Research | Problem Identification * Clear definition of the problem being studied | | | | |
| | N D | unclear; few details | partially clear; details missing | mostly clear; detailed | clear; very detailed |
| | Sources of Information Quality and variety of data/evidence and sources cited | | | | |
| | N D | minimal quality; variety limited | quality OR variety need improvement; did not include professional(s) | sufficient quality and variety; included professional(s) | extensive quality and variety; included multiple professionals |
| Innovative Solution | Problem Analysis Depth to which the problem was studied and analyzed by the team, including extent of analysis of existing solutions | | | | |
| | N D | minimal study; no analysis | minimal study; some analysis | sufficient study and analysis | extensive study and analysis |
| | Team Solution * Clear explanation of the proposed solution and description of how it solves the problem | | | | |
| | N D | difficult to understand | some parts confusing | understandable | easy to understand by all |
| Presentation | Innovation Degree to which the team's solution makes life better by improving existing options, developing a new application of existing ideas, or solving the problem in a completely new way. | | | | |
| | N D | existing solution/application | solution/application contains some original element(s) | original solution/application; potential added value | original solution/application; demonstrated added value |
| | Solution Development Systematic process used to select, develop, evaluate, test, and improve the solution (Implementation could include cost, ease of manufacturing, etc.) | | | | |
| | N D | process AND explanation need improvement | process OR explanation need improvement | systematic process included evaluation | systematic process included evaluation; implementation considered |
| Strengths | Sharing * Degree to which the team shared their Project before the tournament with others who might benefit from the team's efforts | | | | |
| | N D | shared with family / friends | shared outside family / friends (such as classmates) | shared with one audience who may benefit OR one professional | shared with multiple audiences who may benefit OR multiple professionals |
| | Creativity Imagination used to develop and deliver the presentation | | | | |
| | N D | minimally engaging OR unimaginative | engaging OR imaginative | engaging AND imaginative | very engaging AND exceptionally imaginative |
| Comments | Presentation Effectiveness Message delivery and organization of the presentation | | | | |
| | N D | unclear OR disorganized | partially clear; minimal organization | mostly clear; mostly organized | clear AND well organized |

*Need to identify problem applies for plastic wrap, not packaging material.
- Team did not introduce themselves. - good use of cost of problem.*

- Through demo understood solution. The demo should be part of the presentation. The demo brought a great visual of their solution. If possible present a package with your solution. - Need to share benefit of

*Good feedback from expert, but what was the feedback on your solution.
- Need to be more organized in presentation of solution.*

solution (impact to environment + cost).

Strengths: Research **Innovative Solution** Presentation

*Required for Award Consideration

1. EVERYBODY NEEDS TO PARTICIPATE. CORGIS.
2. GREAT PROBLEM DEFINITION, SOLUTIONS & SAMPLES.
3. EXPAND ON ISSUES DISCUSSED WITH ANY M. AND HOW YOUR SOLUTION ADDRESSES THEM.
4. CLARIFY HOW A "MPS" WORKER WILL USE YOUR PRODUCT.
5. PROVIDE MORE STATS ON PROBLEMS.
e.g. TONNES OF WASTE/DAY, INCREASE COST IN REFUSE COLLECTION & DEMAND ON DUMP SITES
6. INITIAL SET UP OF PRESENTATION NEEDS TO BE PRACTICED.
7. ASSIGN 2 TEAM MEMBERS TO SHOW SAMPLES TO JUDGES.
8. DISCUSS THE ~~IMPACT~~^{INGREDIENTS} & ENVIRONMENTAL "FOOT PRINT" if ANY.